# CALIFORNIA STATE POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, POMONA

Audit Report

## **PAYROLL AUDIT**

March 1, 2017, through February 29, 2020



MALIA M. COHEN

CALIFORNIA STATE CONTROLLER

December 2024



December 23, 2024

Dr. Soraya Coley, President California State Polytechnic University, Pomona 3801 West Temple Avenue Pomona, CA 91768

Dear Dr. Coley:

The State Controller's Office audited the California State Polytechnic University, Pomona's payroll process and transactions for the period of March 1, 2017, through February 29, 2020. The audit was conducted pursuant to Government Code sections 12476 and 12410.

California State Polytechnic University, Pomona management is responsible for maintaining a system of internal control over the payroll process within its organization, and for ensuring compliance with various requirements under state laws and regulations regarding payroll and payroll-related expenditures.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact Roochel Espilla, Chief, State Agency Audits Bureau, by telephone at 916-323-5744. Thank you.

Sincerely,

*Original* signed by

Kimberly A. Tarvin, CPA Chief, Division of Audits

KAT/rs

Dr. Soraya Coley December 23, 2024 Page 2 of 2

Copy: Nicole Hawkes, Chief of Staff

California State Polytechnic University, Pomona

Kimberly Allain, Senior Associate Vice President

Employee and Organizational Development and Advancement

California State Polytechnic University, Pomona

Ysabel Trinidad, Vice President for Administrative Affairs

California State Polytechnic University, Pomona

Michelle Cardona, Associate Vice President for Financial Services

California State Polytechnic University, Pomona

Lindsay Roussopulos, Payroll Manager

California State Polytechnic University, Pomona

Joice Xiong, Director

**Internal Audit Department** 

California State Polytechnic University, Pomona

Mildred García, Ed.D., Chancellor

California State University

Albert A. Liddicoat, Ph.D., Interim Vice Chancellor

**Human Resources** 

California State University

Vlad Marinescu, Chief Audit Officer

California State University

Sharon Best, Senior Manager

Investigations and Intergovernmental Audits

California State University

Lisa Dean, Acting Chief

Personnel and Payroll Services Division

State Controller's Office

Veronica Encinas, Bureau Chief

Personnel and Payroll Services Division

State Controller's Office

# **Contents**

## **Audit Report**

| Summary   | 1  |
|---|----|
| Background  | 1  |
| Audit Authority   | 1  |
| Objectives, Scope, and Methodology  | 1  |
| Conclusion  | 3  |
| Follow-up on Prior Audit Findings   | 4  |
| Views of Responsible Officials  | 4  |
| Restricted Use  | 4  |
| Schedule—Summary of Audit Results   | 5  |
| Findings and Recommendations  | 6  |
| Appendix—Audit Sampling Methodology   | A1 |
| Attachment—California State Polytechnic University, Pomona's Response to Draft Audit Report |    |

## **Audit Report**

## **Summary**

The State Controller's Office (SCO) audited the California State Polytechnic University, Pomona's (Cal Poly Pomona) payroll process and transactions for the period of March 1, 2017, through February 29, 2020.

Cal Poly Pomona management is responsible for maintaining a system of internal control over the payroll process within its organization, and for ensuring compliance with various requirements under state laws and regulations regarding payroll and payroll-related expenditures.

Our audit determined that Cal Poly Pomona did not:

- Maintain adequate and effective internal controls over certain aspects of its payroll process, as described in Findings 1 though 6;
- Process payroll and payroll-related disbursements accurately and in accordance with collective bargaining agreements and state laws, regulations, policies, and procedures in certain instances, as described in Findings 3, 4, and 6; or
- Administer salary advances in accordance with collective bargaining agreements and state laws, regulations, policies, and procedures, as described in Finding 5.

## **Background**

In 1979, the State of California adopted collective bargaining for state employees. This created a significant workload increase for the SCO's Personnel and Payroll Services Division (PPSD), as PPSD was the State's centralized payroll processing center for all payroll-related transactions. PPSD decentralized the processing of payroll, allowing state agencies and departments to process their own payroll-related transactions. Periodic audits of the decentralized payroll processing at state agencies and departments ceased due to the budget constraints in the late 1980s.

In 2013, the California State Legislature reinstated these payroll audits to gain assurance that state agencies and departments maintain adequate internal control over the payroll function, provide proper oversight of their decentralized payroll processing, and comply with various state laws and regulations regarding payroll processing and related transactions.

## **Audit Authority**

We conducted this audit in accordance with Government Code (GC) section 12476, which authorizes the SCO to audit the State's payroll system, the State Pay Roll Revolving Fund, and related records of state agencies within the State's payroll system. In addition, GC section 12410 provides the SCO with general authority to audit the disbursement of state money for correctness, legality, and sufficient provisions of law for payment.

# Objectives, Scope, and Methodology

Our audit objectives were to determine whether Cal Poly Pomona:

Maintained adequate and effective internal controls over its payroll process;

- Processed payroll and payroll-related disbursements and leave balances accurately and in accordance with collective bargaining agreements and state laws, regulations, policies, and procedures; and
- Administered salary advances in accordance with collective bargaining agreements and state laws, regulations, policies, and procedures.

The audit covered the period from March 1, 2017, through February 29, 2020. The audit population consisted of payroll transactions totaling \$512,390,209, as quantified in the Schedule.

To achieve our audit objectives, we performed the following procedures:

- We reviewed state and Cal Poly Pomona policies and procedures related to the payroll process to understand Cal Poly Pomona's methodology for processing various payroll and payroll-related transactions.
- We interviewed Cal Poly Pomona payroll personnel to understand Cal Poly Pomona's methodology for processing various payroll and payroll-related transactions, determine the employees' level of knowledge and ability relating to payroll transaction processing, and gain an understanding of existing internal control over the payroll process and systems.
- We selected transactions recorded in the State's payroll database using statistical sampling, as outlined in the Appendix, and targeted selection based on risk factors and other relevant criteria.
- We analyzed and tested the selected transactions and reviewed relevant files and records to determine the accuracy of payroll and payroll-related payments; accuracy of leave transactions; adequacy and effectiveness of internal control over the payroll process; and compliance with collective bargaining agreements and state laws, regulations, policies, and procedures.
- We reviewed salary advances to determine whether Cal Poly Pomona administered and recorded them in accordance with collective bargaining agreements and state laws, regulations, policies, and procedures.
- We assessed the reliability of computer-processed data for payroll and payroll-related transactions by interviewing Cal Poly Pomona officials knowledgeable about the data; reviewing existing information about the data and the system that produced it; and tracing data to source documents, based on statistical sampling and targeted selection. We determined that the data was sufficiently reliable for the purposes of this report.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

## Conclusion

Our audit determined that Cal Poly Pomona did not maintain adequate and effective internal controls over its payroll process; did not process payroll and payroll-related disbursements accurately and in accordance with collective bargaining agreements and state laws, regulations, policies, and procedures; and did not administer salary advances in accordance with collective bargaining agreements and state laws, regulations, policies, and procedures.

We found deficiencies in internal control over the payroll process that we consider to be material weaknesses, and instances of noncompliance with the requirements of collective bargaining agreements and state laws, regulations, policies, and procedures. The material weaknesses and instances of noncompliance are as follows:

- Cal Poly Pomona had inadequate segregation of duties and a lack of compensating controls over payroll transactions (see Finding 1).
- Eight of 26 (31%) employees whose records we examined during our audit had inappropriate access to the State's payroll system (see Finding 2).
- Cal Poly Pomona did not consistently maintain timesheets for regular pay. Based on our audit testing, we estimated that 9% of the timesheets associated with regular pay during the audit period were not retained. We identified \$3,402 and projected an additional \$3,387,164 in unsupported payments (see Finding 3).
- Cal Poly Pomona overpaid one of the 105 (1%) overtime pay transactions that we examined by \$1,236 and we projected an additional \$35,153 in overpayments. In addition, Cal Poly Pomona did not consistently maintain timesheets for overtime pay. Based on our audit testing, we estimated that 15% of the timesheets associated with the audit period were not retained. We identified \$6,778 and projected an additional \$192,785 in unsupported payments (see Finding 4).
- Cal Poly Pomona had inadequate controls to ensure that salary advances were administered in accordance with requirements and

In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered Cal Poly Pomona's internal control over compliance with collective bargaining agreements and state laws, regulations, policies, and procedures to determine the auditing procedures that were appropriate under the circumstances for the purpose of providing a conclusion on compliance, and to test and report on internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this footnote; it was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. As discussed in this section, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design, implementation, or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a compliance requirement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention from those charged with governance.

collected in a timely manner. Fifteen salary advances, totaling \$9,687, remained outstanding as of February 29, 2020 (see Finding 5).

• Cal Poly Pomona did not make timely payments to 15 of the 105 (14%) employees whose separation lump-sum payments we examined (see Finding 6).

## Follow-up on Prior Audit Findings

We have not previously conducted an audit of Cal Poly Pomona's payroll process and transactions.

## Views of Responsible Officials

We issued a draft audit report on September 12, 2024. Cal Poly Pomona's representative responded by letter dated October 18, 2024, agreeing with the audit results. This final audit report includes Cal Poly Pomona's response as an attachment.

## **Restricted Use**

This audit report is solely for the information and use of Cal Poly Pomona, the California State University, and the SCO; it is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit distribution of this audit report, which is a matter of public record and is available on the SCO website at www.sco.ca.gov.

Original signed by

Kimberly A. Tarvin, CPA Chief, Division of Audits

December 23, 2024

Net Total

## Schedule— Summary of Audit Results March 1, 2017, through February 29, 2020

|                         |             |            |                |                  |               |               | Dollar Amount  |         |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------|
|                         |             |            |                |                  |               |               | of Projected   |         |
|                         |             |            |                |                  |               |               | Improper       |         |
|                         |             |            |                |                  |               | Net Total     | Costs and      |         |
|                         |             |            |                |                  |               | Dollar Amount | Identified and |         |
|                         |             | Number of  |                | Number of        | Dollar Amount | of Identified | Projected      |         |
|                         | Method of   | Units of   | Dollar Amount  | Selections       | of Selections | Improper      | Unsupported    | Finding |
| Audit Area Tested       | Selection   | Population | of Population  | Examined         | Examined      | Costs         | Costs          | Number  |
| Segregation of duties   | N/A         | N/A        | N/A            | N/A              | N/A           | N/A           | N/A            | 1       |
| System access           | Targeted    | 26         | N/A            | 26 Employees     | N/A           | N/A           | N/A            | 2       |
| Regular pay             | Statistical | 105,007    | \$ 482,922,627 | 105 Transactions | \$ 484,551    | \$ -          | \$ 3,390,566   | 3       |
| Overtime pay            | Statistical | 3,075      | 2,588,327      | 105 Transactions | 87,913        | 1,236         | 234,716        | 4       |
| Salary advance          | Targeted    | 59         | 70,399         | 59 Transactions  | 70,399        | 9,687         | =              | 5       |
| Separation lump-sum pay | Statistical | 474        | 2,905,415      | 105 Employees    | 536,891       | -             | -              | 6       |
| Emergency pay           | Statistical | 2,989      | 14,746,084     | 105 Transactions | 597,283       | -             | =              |         |
| Settlement pay          | Statistical | 2,829      | 9,157,357      | 105 Transactions | 345,921       |               | <u> </u>       |         |
|                         |             |            | \$ 512,390,209 |                  | \$ 2,122,958  | \$ 10,923     | \$ 3,625,282   |         |

# **Findings and Recommendations**

FINDING 1— Inadequate segregation of duties and lack of compensating controls over payroll transactions Cal Poly Pomona lacked adequate segregation of duties within its payroll transactions unit to ensure that only valid and authorized payroll transactions were processed. Cal Poly Pomona also failed to implement other controls to compensate for this risk.

Our audit found that Cal Poly Pomona payroll transactions unit staff performed conflicting duties. Staff members performed multiple steps in processing payroll transactions, including entering data into the State's payroll system; auditing employee timesheets; reconciling payroll, including reconciling system output to source documentation; reporting payroll exceptions; and processing adjustments. For example, staff members keyed in regular and overtime pay, and reconciled the master payroll, overtime, and other supplemental warrants. Cal Poly Pomona failed to demonstrate that it implemented compensating controls to mitigate the risks associated with such a deficiency. We found no indication that these functions were subjected to periodic supervisory review.

The lack of adequate segregation of duties and compensating controls has a pervasive effect on Cal Poly Pomona's payroll process, and impairs the effectiveness of other controls by rendering their design ineffective or by keeping them from operating effectively. These control deficiencies, in combination with other deficiencies discussed in Findings 2 through 6, represent a material weakness in internal control over the payroll process such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material noncompliance with provisions of laws, regulations, or contracts will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Good internal control practices require that the following functional duties be performed by different work units, or at minimum, by different employees within the same unit:

- Recording transactions This duty refers to the record-keeping function, which is accomplished by entering data into a computer system.
- Authorization to execute This duty belongs to individuals with authority and responsibility to initiate and execute transactions.
- Periodic review and reconciliation of actual payments to recorded amounts – This duty refers to making comparisons of information at regular intervals and taking action to resolve differences.

GC sections 13400 through 13407 require state agencies to establish and maintain internal controls, including proper segregation of duties and an effective system of internal review. Adequate segregation of duties reduces the likelihood that fraud or error will remain undetected by providing for separate processing by different individuals at various stages of a transaction and for independent reviews of the work performed.

## Recommendation

We recommend that Cal Poly Pomona:

 Separate conflicting payroll function duties to the greatest extent possible. Adequate segregation of duties will provide a stronger system of internal control whereby the functions of each employee are subject to the review of another.

If it is not possible to segregate payroll functions fully and appropriately, Cal Poly Pomona should implement compensating controls. For example, if the payroll transactions unit staff member responsible for recordkeeping also performs a reconciliation process, then the supervisor should perform and document a detailed review of the reconciliation to provide additional control over the assignment of conflicting functions. Compensating controls may also include dual authorization requirements and documented reviews of payroll system input and output; and

 Develop formal procedures for performing and documenting compensating controls.

FINDING 2— Inappropriate keying access to the State's payroll system Cal Poly Pomona lacked adequate controls to ensure that only appropriate staff members had keying access to the State's payroll system. Cal Poly Pomona inappropriately allowed eight employees keying access to the State's payroll system because Cal Poly Pomona did not immediately remove or modify keying access for the employees after the employees' separation from state service, transfer to another agency, or change in classification.

The SCO maintains the State's payroll system. The system is decentralized, thereby allowing employees of state agencies to access it. All state agencies are required to comply with PPSD's *Decentralized Security Program Manual* (DSP Manual) in order to access the payroll system. The DSP Manual describes how state agencies can secure and protect the confidentiality and integrity of payroll data against misuse, abuse, and unauthorized use.

We examined the records of 26 Cal Poly Pomona employees who had keying access to the State's payroll system at various times between March 2017 and February 2020. Of the 26 employees, eight had inappropriate keying access to the State's payroll system. Specifically, Cal Poly Pomona did not immediately remove or modify keying access for the eight employees after the employees' separation from state service, transfer to another agency, or change in classification. For example, a Personnel Technician II left Cal Poly Pomona on November 16, 2019, but Cal Poly Pomona did not request to remove the employee's access until January 3, 2020—48 days later. Cal Poly Pomona lacked periodic review of keying access granted to employees to ensure compliance with the DSP Manual.

If not mitigated, this control deficiency leaves payroll data at risk of misuse, abuse, and unauthorized use.

The December 2015 DSP Manual ("Access Requirements," page 13) states, in part:

The [State's payroll system] contains sensitive and confidential information. Access is restricted to persons with an authorized, legal, and legitimate business requirement to complete their duties. . . .

If the employee's duties change, such that the need for access no longer exists, the access privilege **MUST** be removed or deleted immediately by a request submitted by the department/campus.

The December 2015 DSP Manual ("Revocation and Deletion of User IDs," page 17) states, in part:

To prevent unauthorized use by a transferred, terminated or resigned employee's user ID, the Security Monitor must IMMEDIATELY submit all pages of the PSD125A [Security Authorization Form] to delete the user's system access. Using an old user ID increases the chances of a security breach, which is a serious security violation. Sharing a user ID is strictly prohibited and a serious violation. . . .

## Recommendation

We recommend that Cal Poly Pomona:

- Update keying access to the State's payroll system immediately after employees leave Cal Poly Pomona, transfer to another unit, or change classifications; and
- Periodically review access to the system to verify that access complies with the DSP Manual.

FINDING 3— Missing timesheets for regular pay

Cal Poly Pomona lacked segregation of duties and compensating controls within its payroll transactions unit, as noted in Finding 1, and lacked adequate controls to ensure that timesheets were maintained to support regular pay.

Payroll records show that Cal Poly Pomona processed 105,007 regular pay transactions, totaling \$482,922,627 between March 2017 and February 2020. We randomly selected a statistical sample (as described in the Appendix) of 105 transactions, totaling \$484,551. Based on our examination of these transactions, we found that Cal Poly Pomona lacked timesheets associated with nine of 105 (9%) transactions, totaling \$3,402. Without the required documentation, we could not determine the validity, accuracy, and propriety of the payments made to the employees; or the completeness and accuracy of the leave accounting records. We projected the additional unsupported payments to be \$3,387,164.

If not mitigated, the control deficiencies leave Cal Poly Pomona at risk of making improper payments for regular pay.

## Statistical sampling results

The identified regular pay with missing timesheets totaled \$3,402.

We used a statistical sampling method to select the regular pay transactions examined. We projected an additional \$3,387,164 in unsupported payments. Therefore, the identified and projected unsupported payments totaled approximately \$3,390,566.

The following table summarizes the results of our statistical sampling (amounts are rounded to the nearest dollar):

| Identified unsupported payments   | \$ | 3,402      |
|---|----|------------|
| Divide by: Sample   |    | 484,551    |
| Error rate for projection (differences due to rounding)                     |    | 0.70%      |
|   |    |            |
| Population that was statistically sampled                                   | 4  | 82,922,627 |
| Multiply by: Error rate for projection                                      |    | 0.70%      |
| Identified and projected unsupported payments (differences due to rounding) |    | 3,390,566  |
| Less: Identified unsupported payments                                       |    | 3,402      |
| Projected unsupported payments  | \$ | 3,387,164  |

## Criteria

GC sections 13400 through 13407 require state agencies to establish and maintain internal controls, including a system of policies and procedures adequate to ensure compliance with applicable laws and other requirements, and an effective system of internal review.

Collective bargaining agreements and Education Code section 89502 contain specific clauses regarding regular pay.

Cal Poly Pomona's General Retention Schedule for Payroll/Personnel Records specifies a four-year retention period for timesheets.

## Recommendation

We recommend that Cal Poly Pomona maintain documentation supporting payments for regular pay, pursuant to its retention policies.

FINDING 4— Improper payments and missing timesheets for overtime pay Cal Poly Pomona lacked adequate segregation of duties within its payroll transactions unit, as noted in Finding 1. It also lacked adequate controls over the processing of overtime pay; adequate supervisory review to ensure accurate processing of overtime pay; and adequate controls to ensure that timesheets were maintained to support overtime payments.

Payroll records show that Cal Poly Pomona processed 3,075 overtime pay transactions, totaling \$2,588,327, between March 2017 and February 2020. We randomly selected a statistical sample (as described in

the Appendix) of 105 transactions, totaling \$87,913. Based on our examination of these transactions, we found the following errors:

- Cal Poly Pomona overpaid one transaction by \$1,236 because the
  payroll transactions unit staff member incorrectly entered the overtime
  hours worked into the payroll system. In addition, Cal Poly Pomona
  lacked adequate supervisory review to ensure accurate processing of
  overtime pay. We projected an additional \$35,153 in overpayments.
- Cal Poly Pomona could not locate timesheets and supporting calculations for 16 of 105 (15%) overtime pay transactions, totaling \$6,778. Without the required documentation, we could not determine the validity, accuracy, and propriety of the payments made to the employees; or the completeness and accuracy of leave accounting records. We projected an additional \$192,785 in unsupported payments.

If not mitigated, these control deficiencies leave Cal Poly Pomona at risk of making additional improper payments for overtime pay.

## Statistical sampling results

The identified improper and unsupported overtime payments totaled \$8,014.

We used a statistical sampling method to select the overtime pay transactions that we examined. We projected an additional \$35,153 in overpayments and \$192,785 in unsupported payments. The projected improper and unsupported payments totaled \$227,938. Therefore, the identified and projected improper and unsupported payments totaled approximately \$235,952, consisting of \$36,389 in overpayments and \$199,563 in unsupported payments.

The following table summarizes the results of our statistical sampling (amounts are rounded to the nearest dollar):

| Identified improper and unsupported payments               | \$<br>8,014     |
|--|-----------------|
| Divide by: Sample  | 87,913          |
| Error rate for projection (differences due to rounding)    | 9.12%           |
|  |                 |
| Population that was statistically sampled                  | \$<br>2,588,327 |
| Multiply by: Error rate for projection                     | 9.12%           |
| Identified and projected improper and unsupported payments |                 |
| (differences due to rounding)                              | 235,952         |
| Less: Identified improper and unsupported payments         | 8,014           |
| Projected improper and unsupported payments                | \$<br>227,938   |

## Criteria

GC sections 13400 through 13407 require state agencies to establish and maintain internal controls, including a system of policies and procedures adequate to ensure compliance with applicable laws and other requirements, and an effective system of internal review.

Collective bargaining agreements and Education Code section 89502 contain specific clauses regarding overtime pay.

Cal Poly Pomona's General Retention Schedule for Payroll/Personnel Records specifies a four-year retention period for timesheets.

## Recommendation

We recommend that Cal Poly Pomona:

- Conduct a review of overtime payments made during the past three years to ensure that the payments complied with collective bargaining agreements and state laws and policies; and
- Recover any overpayments made to employees through an agreedupon collection method in accordance with Chapter 28, "Accounts Receivable," of the California State University (CSU) Legal Manual.

We further recommend that, to prevent improper payments for overtime pay from recurring, Cal Poly Pomona:

- Establish adequate internal controls to ensure that payments are accurate and comply with collective bargaining agreements and state laws;
- Provide adequate oversight to ensure that payroll transactions unit staff process only valid and authorized payments that comply with collective bargaining agreements and state laws; and
- Maintain supporting documentation for regular pay pursuant to its retention policies.

FINDING 5— Failure to collect outstanding salary advances Cal Poly Pomona lacked adequate segregation of duties within its payroll transactions unit, as noted in Finding 1. It also lacked adequate controls over salary advances to ensure that advances were collected in a timely manner, in accordance with state law and policies. Fifteen salary advances, totaling \$9,687, remained outstanding for more than 90 days as of February 29, 2020.

At February 29, 2020, Cal Poly Pomona's accounting records show 59 outstanding salary advances, totaling \$70,399. We examined the 15 salary advances, totaling \$9,687, that had been outstanding for more than 90 days. The 15 advances had been outstanding for an average of 483 days, and the oldest uncollected salary advance was outstanding for over four years. We noted that Cal Poly Pomona had not initiated timely collection efforts for any of the salary advances that we sampled. Salary advances are more difficult to collect after the employee leaves state service, and they may become uncollectable if not collected within three years.

If not mitigated, these control deficiencies leave Cal Poly Pomona at risk of failing to collect further salary advances.

Chapter 28, "Accounts Receivable," of the CSU *Legal Manual*, and State Administrative Manual sections 8291, 8291.1, and 8293 describe the state and Cal Poly Pomona's collection policies and procedures, which require the collection of salary advances in a timely manner, and maintenance of proper records of collection efforts.

## Recommendation

We recommend that Cal Poly Pomona ensure that it collects salary advances in a timely manner, pursuant to Chapter 28, "Accounts Receivable," of the CSU *Legal Manual* and State Administrative Manual sections 8291, 8291.1, and 8293.

FINDING 6— Late separation lump-sum payments Cal Poly Pomona lacked adequate segregation of duties within its payroll transactions unit, as noted in Finding 1, and lacked adequate controls to ensure timely separation lump-sum payments to employees.

Payroll records show that Cal Poly Pomona processed separation lump-sum payments, totaling \$2,905,415, for 474 employees between March 2017 and February 2020. We randomly selected a statistical sample (as described in the Appendix) of 105 employees who received separation lump-sum payments, totaling \$536,891. Based on our examination of the employees' records, we found that 15 of the 105 (14%) employees were not paid in a timely manner.

If not mitigated, these control deficiencies leave Cal Poly Pomona at risk of making additional late separation lump-sum payments, noncompliance with agreements and laws, and liability for late payments.

GC sections 13400 through 13407 require state agencies to establish and maintain internal controls, including an effective system of internal review.

Education Code section 89504 allows lump-sum payment for accrued eligible leave credits when an employee separates from state employment.

Collective bargaining agreements include similar provisions regarding separation lump-sum pay.

## Recommendation

We recommend that Cal Poly Pomona establish adequate controls to ensure timely separation lump-sum payments.

## Appendix— Audit Sampling Methodology

This Appendix outlines our audit sampling application for all audit areas where statistical sampling was used.

We used attributes sampling for tests of compliance. We chose this sample design because:

- It follows the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) guidelines;
- It allowed us to achieve our objectives for tests of compliance in an efficient and effective manner;
- Audit areas included high volumes of transactions;
- We planned to project the results to the intended population; and
- We had the collective knowledge and skills to plan and perform the sampling plan and design.

We conducted compliance testing on samples chosen by computer-generated simple random selection. For populations of 250 items or more, we determined the sample size using a calculator with a binomial distribution. As stated in *Technical Notes on the AICPA Audit Guide:* Audit Sampling (March 1, 2012), page 5, although the hypergeometric distribution is the correct distribution to use for attributes sample sizes, the distribution becomes unwieldy for large populations unless suitable software is available. Therefore, more convenient approximations are frequently used instead.

The confidence level was 90.00%, the tolerable error rate was 5.00%, and the expected error rate was 2.00 (1.75%). Pursuant to the AICPA's *Audit Guide: Audit Sampling* (December 1, 2019 edition), pages 131–132, the *expected error rate* is the expected number of errors planned for in the sample. It is derived by multiplying the expected error rate by the sample size. The expected number of errors in the sampling tables on pages 135–136 was rounded upward, e.g., 0.2 errors become 1.0 error. Results were projected to the intended (total) population.

| Audit<br>Area           | Population<br>(Unit) | Population<br>(Dollar) | Sampling<br>Unit | Sample<br>Size | Finding<br>Number |
|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Regular pay             | 105,007              | \$482,922,627          | Transaction      | 105            | 3                 |
| Overtime pay            | 3,075                | 2,588,327              | Transaction      | 105            | 4                 |
| Separation lump-sum pay | 474                  | 2,905,415              | Employee         | 105            | 6                 |
| Emergency pay           | 2,989                | 14,746,084             | Transaction      | 105            |                   |
| Settlement pay          | 2,829                | 9,157,357              | Transaction      | 105            |                   |

# Attachment— California State Polytechnic University, Pomona's Response to Draft Audit Report



#### Office of the Vice President for Administrative Affairs

October 18, 2024

Roochel Espilla Chief, State Agency Audits Bureau State Controller's Office, Division of Audits P.O. Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250

Subject: University Response to Draft Payroll Audit Report

Dear Mr. Espilla:

This letter is the response from California State Polytechnic University, Pomona (CPP) to the draft audit report issued by the State Controller's Office on September 12, 2024, regarding the university payroll process and transactions for the period of March 1, 2017, through February 29, 2020.

We appreciate the effort and guidance you and your staff have provided to indicate areas where our procedures or internal controls could be strengthened. We will take the necessary corrective actions to address the report's recommendations.

The following is a response to each of the findings and recommendations:

## Finding ${\bf 1}$ – Inadequate segregation of duties and lack of compensating controls over payroll transactions

## 5CO Recommendation

We recommend that Cal Poly Pomona:

- Separate conflicting payroll function duties to the greatest extent possible. Adequate
  segregation of duties will provide a stronger system of internal control whereby the
  functions of each employee are subject to the review of another.
  If it is not possible to segregate payroll functions fully and appropriately, Cal Poly Pomona
  should implement compensating controls. For example, if the payroll transactions unit staff
  member responsible for recordkeeping also performs a reconciliation process, then the
  supervisor should perform and document a detailed review of the reconciliation to provide
  additional control over the assignment of conflicting functions. Compensating controls may
  also include dual authorization requirements and documented reviews of payroll system
  input and output; and
- Develop formal procedures for performing and documenting compensating controls.

#### **CPP Response**

We Concur. We have initiated the development of formal procedures to separate conflicting payroll function duties by implementing appropriate compensating controls. Specifically, we will utilize reports to compare payroll data between the campus payroll system and the state payroll system, allowing for effective reconciliation and oversight of payroll operations.

## Findings 2 - Inappropriate Keying access to the State's payroll system

#### **SCO Recommendation**

We recommend that Cal Poly Pomona:

- Update keying access to the State's payroll system immediately after employees leave Cal Poly Pomona, transfer to another unit, or change classifications; and
- Periodically review access to the system to verify that access complies with the DSP Manual.

#### **CPP Response**

We Concur.

- We have established processes to monitor the access for any additions, changes, and deletions of our users to the State's Payroll system and update the keying access immediately when needed.
- We will periodically review the DSP manual to ensure that CPP system user access complies with the requirements.

### Finding 3 - Missing timesheets for regular pay

#### **SCO Recommendation**

We recommend that Cal Poly Pomona maintain documentation supporting payments for regular pay, pursuant to its retention policies.

## **CPP Response**

We Concur. We have implemented a digital document system to enhance our processes for maintaining supporting documentation of payroll payments, ensuring compliance with CPP's record retention policies. This system allows us to digitize and store all payroll documents, including timesheets, in a central location for easier access and improved recordkeeping.

## Finding 4 - Improper payments and missing timesheets for overtime pay

## **SCO Recommendation**

We recommend that Cal Poly Pomona:

Conduct a review of overtime payments made during the past three years to ensure that the
payments complied with collective bargaining agreements and state laws and policies; and

 Recover overpayments made to employees through an agreed-upon collection method in accordance with Chapter 28, "Accounts Receivable," of the California State University (CSU) Legal Manual.

We further recommend that, to prevent improper payments for overtime pay from recurring, Cal Poly Pomona:

- Establish adequate internal controls to ensure that payments are accurate and comply with
  collective bargaining agreements and state laws.
- Provide adequate oversight to ensure that payroll transactions unit staff process only valid
  and authorized payments that comply with collective bargaining agreements and state laws;
  and
- Maintain supporting documentation for overtime pay pursuant to its retention policies.

#### **CPP Response**

We concur.

- We have begun and will continue to review the prior overtime payments to ensure that the
  payments complied with collective bargaining agreements and state laws and policies.
- We have established account receivable processes to recover overpayments made to employees, if any, through an agreed-upon collection method in accordance with, the California State University's (CSU) legal Manual, Chapter 28 Accounts Receivable.
- We will continue to enhance additional review processes to ensure that payments comply
  with collective bargaining agreements and state laws, and the payments are accurate and
  authorized.
- We have been updating processes to maintain supporting documentation of payroll
  payments to ensure that we comply with the CPP's records retention policies.

## Finding 5 - Failure to collect outstanding salary advances

## **SCO Recommendation**

We recommend that Cal Poly Pomona ensure that it collects salary advances in a timely manner, pursuant to Chapter 28, "Accounts Receivable," of CSU *Legal Manual* and State Administrative Manual sections 8291, 8291.1, and 8293.

#### **CPP Response**

We concur. We have established processes to recover salary advances, pursuant to state, CSU and CPP policies and procedures. We have added the support of a rehired annuitant to assist with this process.

## Finding 6 - Late separation lump-sum payments

#### **SCO Recommendation**

We recommend that Cal Poly Pomona establish adequate controls to ensure timely separation lump-sum payments.

#### **CPP Response**

We concur. We will continue to enhance additional review processes to confirm lump-sum payments prior to generating separation payments and to update the leave accounting system to reflect the number of leave credits that were paid.

If you should have any questions or concerns, please contact Ms. Joice Xiong, Director of Internal Audit,

Sincerely,

Michelle Cardona

10/18/2024

Michelle Cardona AVP for Financial Services/Controller AIC for Administrative Affairs

Ysabel D. Trinidad Vice President of Administrative Affairs & CFO California State Polytechnic University, Pomona

cc: Dr. Mildred Garcia, Chancellor of CSU

Albert A Liddicoat, Interim Vice Chancellor, Human Resources, CSU Vlad Marinescu, Vice Chancellor & Chief Audit Officer, CSU

Sharon Best, Senior Manager of Investigations and Intergovernmental Audits, CSU Dr. Soraya M. Coley, President, CPP

Nicole Hawkes, VP of People, Culture and Institutional Affairs and Chief of Staff, CPP Michelle Cardona, Associate Vice President, Financial Services/Controller, Administrator in Charge, Administrative Affairs, CPP

Kimberly Allain, Sr. AVP of Employee & Organizational Development & Advancement/HR Operations, CPP

Lindsay Roussopulos, Director of Payroll & Benefits, CPP Joice Xiong, Director of Internal Audit, CPP

State Controller's Office Division of Audits Post Office Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250

www.sco.ca.gov