

SONOMA COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION

Review Report

AUDIT RESOLUTION PROCESS

Fiscal Year 2017-18 and Fiscal Year 2018-19



BETTY T. YEE
California State Controller

December 2020



BETTY T. YEE
California State Controller

December 28, 2020

Steven Herrington, Ph.D., Superintendent
Sonoma County Office of Education
5340 Skylane Boulevard
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Dear Dr. Herrington:

The State Controller's Office reviewed the Sonoma County Office of Education's (COE) audit resolution process for local education agency exceptions noted in the annual audit reports. The review covered fiscal year (FY) 2017-18 and FY 2018-19.

Our review found that the Sonoma COE followed its audit resolution process for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19. As a result, the Sonoma COE was in compliance with California Education Code section 41020.

If you have any questions, please contact Joel James, Chief, Financial Audits Bureau, by telephone at (916) 323-1573.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

JIM L. SPANO, CPA
Chief, Division of Audits

JLS/ac

cc: Mary Downey, Deputy Superintendent, Business Services
Sonoma County Office of Education
Shelley Stiles, Director, External Fiscal Services
Sonoma County Office of Education
Elizabeth Dearstyne, Director, School Fiscal Services Division
California Department of Education
Keith Smith, Administrator, School Fiscal Services Division
California Department of Education
Jeff Bell, Program Budget Manager, Education Systems Unit
California Department of Finance

Contents

Review Report

Summary	1
Background	1
Objective, Scope, and Methodology	2
Conclusion	3
Views of Responsible Officials	3
Restricted Use	3

Review Report

Summary

The State Controller's Office (SCO) reviewed the Sonoma County Office of Education's (COE) audit resolution process for local education agency (LEA) exceptions noted in the annual audit reports for fiscal year (FY) 2017-18 and FY 2018-19. Our review found that the Sonoma COE followed its audit resolution process for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19.

Background

California Education Code section 41020(n) requires the State Controller to annually select a sample of county superintendents of schools for which the SCO will perform a follow-up review of the audit resolution process. Results of these reviews will be reported to the Superintendent of Public Instruction (SPI) and the county superintendents of the schools that were reviewed.

In addition, California Education Code section 41020(n) states that the State Controller shall require auditors to categorize audit exceptions in the audit report in such a manner that both the county superintendent of schools and the SPI can discern the exceptions for which it is their responsibility to ensure that the LEAs take action to correct.

The Sonoma COE provides coordination of educational programs and professional and financial supervision for 40 LEAs under its jurisdiction. In addition, the county superintendent of schools maintains special schools and programs countywide, independent of the LEAs.

County superintendents of schools are required to do the following:

- Review, for each of their school districts, audit exceptions relating to attendance, inventory of equipment, internal control, and any miscellaneous items, and determine whether the findings have been corrected or an acceptable plan of correction has been developed (California Education Code section 41020(i)(1));
- Review audit exceptions related to the use of program funds for instructional materials, teacher misassignments, and school accountability report cards. The county superintendents must also determine whether the exceptions have been corrected or an acceptable plan of correction has been developed (California Education Code section 41020(i)(2));
- Review audit exceptions related to attendance, inventory of equipment, internal control, and other miscellaneous exceptions. Attendance exceptions or issues must include those related to local control funding formula allocations pursuant to California Education Code section 42238.02, as implemented by section 42238.03, and independent study (California Education Code section 41020(j)(1));
- Notify the LEA, and request that the governing board of the LEA provide to the county superintendent of schools a description of the correction or plan of correction by March 15 of the subsequent year (California Education Code section 41020(j)(2));

- Review the description of the correction or plan of correction and determine its adequacy and, if the LEA's response was not adequate, require the LEA to resubmit that portion of its response that is inadequate (California Education Code section 41020(j)(3));
- By May 15 of the subsequent year, certify to the SPI and the SCO that the county has reviewed all applicable exceptions, and state that all exceptions have been corrected, or that an acceptable plan for correction has been submitted by the LEA to the county superintendent, except as noted in the certification. In addition, identify by LEA any attendance-related exceptions or exceptions involving state funds, and require the LEA to submit the appropriate reporting forms to the SPI for processing (California Education Code section 41020(k));
- Review LEAs' unresolved prior-year audit exceptions when the California Department of Education defers to the county (California Education Code section 41020(l)); and
- Adjust subsequent local property tax requirements to correct audit exceptions relating to LEA tax rates and tax revenues (California Education Code section 41020(o)).

Objective, Scope, and Methodology

The objective of our review was limited to determining whether the Sonoma COE followed its audit resolution process for resolving LEA audit exceptions in a manner consistent with California Education Code section 41020. Our review did not include an evaluation of the sufficiency of the action taken by the LEA and the Sonoma COE to address each exception, nor did it assess the degree to which each exception was addressed.

The review period was FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19.

To achieve our objective, we:

- Verified that the Sonoma COE addressed all attendance, inventory of equipment, internal control, and miscellaneous exceptions. In addition, we verified that the Sonoma COE addressed any findings on program funds for instructional materials, teacher misassignments, and school accountability report cards. However, with respect to exceptions based on sample items, our review did not include a determination of whether the exception results were properly quantified and addressed at a districtwide or countywide level;
- Verified that the Sonoma COE notified LEAs that they must submit completed corrective action forms to the Sonoma COE by March 15, 2019, and March 15, 2020, for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19, respectively. Our review did not include an assessment of the LEAs' progress in taking corrective action;
- Verified that the Sonoma COE required the LEAs to submit the appropriate reporting forms to the SPI for any attendance-related exceptions that affect state funding;

- Reviewed the letters of certification due on May 15, 2019, and July 15, 2020, that the Sonoma COE sent to the SPI and the SCO regarding any resolved and unresolved audit exceptions;
- Verified that the Sonoma COE followed up with unresolved prior-year audit exceptions that the SPI required the Sonoma COE to conduct; and
- Verified that the Sonoma COE adjusted subsequent local property tax requirements to correct audit exceptions related to LEA tax rates and tax revenues.

Our review was conducted under the authority of California Education Code section 41020(n).

Conclusion

Our review found that the Sonoma COE followed its audit resolution process for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19. As a result, the Sonoma COE was in compliance with California Education Code section 41020 for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19. We made no additional determination regarding the Sonoma COE's audit resolution process beyond the scope of the review outlined above.

Views of Responsible Officials

We conducted an exit conference on October 14, 2020, and discussed our review results with Shelley Stiles, Director; and Linda Daugherty, District Fiscal Management Advisor. Ms. Stiles agreed with the review results, declined a draft review report, and agreed that we could issue the review report as final.

Restricted Use

This review report is intended solely for the information and use of the Sonoma COE, the California Department of Education, the California Department of Finance, and the SCO; it is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not meant to limit distribution of this review report, which is a matter of public record and is available on the SCO website at www.sco.ca.gov.

Original signed by

JIM L. SPANO, CPA
Chief, Division of Audits

December 28, 2020

**State Controller's Office
Division of Audits
Post Office Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250**

<http://www.sco.ca.gov>