## SAN DIEGO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION

Review Report

## **AUDIT RESOLUTION PROCESS**

Fiscal Year 2017-18 and Fiscal Year 2018-19



BETTY T. YEE
California State Controller

August 2021



# BETTY T. YEE California State Controller

August 23, 2021

Paul Gothold, Ed.D., County Superintendent of Schools San Diego County Office of Education 6401 Linda Vista Road San Diego, CA 92111

Dear Dr. Gothold:

The State Controller's Office reviewed the San Diego County Office of Education's (COE) audit resolution process for local education agency exceptions noted in the annual audit reports. The review covered fiscal year (FY) 2017-18 and FY 2018-19.

Our review found that the San Diego COE followed its audit resolution process for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19. However, the audit resolution process was deficient because it did not identify Local Control Funding Formula-related audit findings or revised audit findings for review and resolution as part of the San Diego COE's oversight responsibility. Except for the issues noted above, the San Diego COE complied with California Education Code section 41020.

If you have any questions, please contact Joel James, Chief, Financial Audits Bureau, by telephone at (916) 323-1573.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

KIMBERLY TARVIN, CPA Chief, Division of Audits

KT/as

County Superintendent of Schools

cc: Michael Simonson, Deputy Superintendent

Chief Business Official, San Diego County Office of Education

Liliana Enriquez, Executive Assistant III

Office of the Superintendent, San Diego County Office of Education

Brent Watson, Executive Director

San Diego County Office of Education

Natalie Schuff, Director

San Diego County Office of Education

Elizabeth Dearstyne, Director

**School Fiscal Services Division** 

California Department of Education

Keith Smith, Administrator

**School Fiscal Services Division** 

California Department of Education

Christopher Ferguson, Program Budget Manager

**Education Systems Unit** 

California Department of Finance

## **Contents**

### **Review Report**

Summary	1
Background	1
Objective, Scope, and Methodology	2
Conclusion	3
Views of Responsible Officials	3
Restricted Use	3
Finding and Recommendation	4
Attachment—San Diego County Office of Education's Response to Draft Review Repor	rt

## **Review Report**

#### **Summary**

The State Controller's Office (SCO) reviewed the San Diego County Office of Education's (COE) audit resolution process for local education agency (LEA) exceptions noted in the annual audit reports for fiscal year (FY) 2017-18 and FY 2018-19. Our review found that the San Diego COE followed its audit resolution process for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19. However, the audit resolution process was deficient because it did not identify Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF)-related audit findings or revised audit findings for review and resolution as part of the San Diego COE's oversight responsibility.

#### **Background**

California Education Code section 41020(n) requires the State Controller to annually select a sample of county superintendents of schools for which the SCO will perform a follow-up review of the audit resolution process. Results of these reviews will be reported to the State Superintendent of Public Instruction (SSPI) and the county superintendents of the schools that were reviewed.

In addition, California Education Code section 41020(n) states that the State Controller shall require auditors to categorize audit exceptions in the audit report in such a manner that both the county superintendent of schools and the SSPI can discern the exceptions for which it is their responsibility to ensure that the LEAs take action to correct.

The San Diego COE provides coordination of educational programs and professional and financial supervision for 42 LEAs under its jurisdiction. In addition, the county superintendent of schools maintains special schools and programs countywide, independent of the LEAs.

County superintendents of schools are required to do the following:

- Review, for each of their school districts, audit exceptions relating to attendance, inventory of equipment, internal control, and any miscellaneous items, and determine whether the findings have been corrected or an acceptable plan of correction has been developed (California Education Code section 41020(i)(1));
- Review audit exceptions related to the use of program funds for instructional materials, teacher misassignments, and school accountability report cards. The county superintendents must also determine whether the exceptions have been corrected or an acceptable plan of correction has been developed (California Education Code section 41020(i)(2));
- Review audit exceptions related to attendance, inventory of equipment, internal control, and other miscellaneous exceptions. Attendance exceptions or issues must include those related to local control funding formula allocations pursuant to California Education Code section 42238.02, as implemented by section 42238.03, and independent study (California Education Code section 41020(j)(1));

- Notify the LEA, and request that the governing board of the LEA provide to the county superintendent of schools a description of the correction or plan of correction by March 15 of the subsequent year (California Education Code section 41020(j)(2));
- Review the description of the correction or plan of correction and determine its adequacy and, if the LEA's response was not adequate, require the LEA to resubmit that portion of its response that is inadequate (California Education Code section 41020(j)(3));
- By May 15 of the subsequent year, certify to the SSPI and the SCO that the county has reviewed all applicable exceptions, and state that all exceptions have been corrected, or that an acceptable plan for correction has been submitted by the LEA to the county superintendent, except as noted in the certification. In addition, identify by LEA any attendance-related exceptions or exceptions involving state funds, and require the LEA to submit the appropriate reporting forms to the SSPI for processing (California Education Code section 41020(k));
- Review LEAs' unresolved prior-year audit exceptions when the California Department of Education defers to the county (California Education Code section 41020(1)); and
- Adjust subsequent local property tax requirements to correct audit exceptions relating to LEA tax rates and tax revenues (California Education Code section 41020(o)).

## Objective, Scope, and Methodology

The objective of our review was limited to determining whether the San Diego COE followed its audit resolution process for resolving LEA audit exceptions in a manner consistent with California Education Code section 41020. Our review did not include an evaluation of the sufficiency of the action taken by the LEA and the San Diego COE to address each exception, nor did it assess the degree to which each exception was addressed.

The review period was FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19.

To achieve our objective, we:

- Verified that the San Diego COE addressed all attendance, inventory of equipment, internal control, and miscellaneous exceptions. In addition, we verified that the San Diego COE addressed any findings on program funds for instructional materials, teacher misassignments, and school accountability report cards. However, with respect to exceptions based on sample items, our review did not include a determination of whether the exception results were properly quantified and addressed at a districtwide or countywide level;
- Verified that the San Diego COE notified LEAs that they must submit completed corrective action forms to the San Diego COE by March 15, 2019, and March 15, 2020, for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19, respectively. Our review did not include an assessment of the LEAs' progress in taking corrective action;

- Verified that the San Diego COE required the LEAs to submit the appropriate reporting forms to the SSPI for any attendance-related exceptions that affect state funding;
- Reviewed the letters of certification due on May 15, 2019, and July 15, 2020, that the San Diego COE sent to the SSPI and the SCO regarding any resolved and unresolved audit exceptions;
- Verified that the San Diego COE followed up with unresolved prioryear audit exceptions that the SSPI required the San Diego COE to conduct; and
- Verified that the San Diego COE adjusted subsequent local property tax requirements to correct audit exceptions related to LEA tax rates and tax revenues.

Our review was conducted under the authority of California Education Code section 41020(n).

#### **Conclusion**

Our review found that the San Diego COE followed its audit resolution process for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19. However, the audit resolution process was deficient because it did not identify LCFF-related audit findings or revised audit findings for review and resolution as part of the San Diego COE's oversight responsibility. Except for the issues noted above, the San Diego COE complied with California Education Code section 41020 for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19. We made no additional determination regarding the San Diego COE's audit resolution process beyond the scope of the review outlined above.

#### Views of Responsible Officials

We issued a draft review report on April 13, 2021. Brent Watson, Executive Director responded by letter dated April 20, 2021 (Attachment), agreeing with the review results except as they relate to the review of revised audit findings. This final review report includes San Diego COE's response.

#### **Restricted Use**

This review report is intended solely for the information and use of the San Diego COE, the California Department of Education, the California Department of Finance, and the SCO; it is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not meant to limit distribution of this review report, which is a matter of public record and is available on the SCO website at www.sco.ca.gov.

Original signed by

KIMBERLY TARVIN, CPA Chief, Division of Audits

August 23, 2021

## **Finding and Recommendation**

FINDING—
Deficiencies in the identification and review of LEA audit exceptions

Based on our review of the San Diego COE's audit resolution process for LEA exceptions noted in the annual audit reports for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19, we noted the following deficiencies:

- For FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19, the San Diego COE did not identify LCFF-related audit findings as part of its oversight responsibility; and
- For FY 2017-18, the San Diego COE process did not include the review of a revised audit finding related to Instructional Materials.

#### California Education Code section 41020(i)(2) states:

Commencing with the 2004-05 audit of local educational agencies pursuant to this section and subdivision (d) of Section 41020.1, each county superintendent of schools shall include in the review of audit exceptions performed pursuant to this subdivision those audit exceptions related to use of instructional materials program funds, teacher misassignments pursuant to Section 44258.9, information reported on the school accountability report card required pursuant to Section 33126 and shall determine whether the exceptions are either corrected or an acceptable plan of correction has been developed.

#### California Education Code section 41020(j) states, in part:

Upon submission of the final audit report to the governing board of each local educational agency and subsequent receipt of the audit by the county superintendent of schools having jurisdiction over the local education agency, the county office of education shall do all of the following:

(1) Review audit exceptions related to attendance, inventory of equipment, internal control, and other miscellaneous exceptions. Attendance exceptions or issues shall include, but not be limited to, those related to local control funding formula allocations pursuant to Section 42238.02, as implemented by Section 42238.03, and independent study.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that the San Diego COE comply with California Education Code section 41020 by identifying and reviewing all audit exceptions that fall under its oversight responsibility.

# Attachment— San Diego County Office of Education's Response to Draft Review Report



San Diego County Office of Education Main Campus
6401 Linda Vista Road, San Diego, CA 92111

April 20, 2021

Michael Reeves, CPA Acting Chief, Division of Audits State Controller's Office P.O. Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250

Dear Mr. Reeves:

We are in receipt of the State Controller's Office (SCO) letter dated April 13, 2021 regarding review of the San Diego County Office of Education's (SDCOE) audit resolution process for fiscal years 2017-18 and 2018-19. We agree with the SCO's findings and recommendations, except as it relates to our review of revised audit findings. SDCOE's audit resolution process includes a review of revised audit findings; however, the process to track revised audit reports was deficient as noted in the SCO report. In this particular instance, the revised audit report was received very late, after we had completed our audit reviews, and staff missed the new finding contained in the revised report. Please see corrective action for changes we have made to our audit review process to avoid this issue in the future.

#### **Corrective Actions**

Beginning with SDCOE's review of 2019-20 audit findings, we are implementing an updated review process to include all LCFF related audit findings as part of our COE oversight responsibility. Please see attachment titled "Audit Report Findings Review Sheet\_Revised" for the highlighted changes we have made to identify these types of findings.

To ensure we review all findings contained in revised audit reports, we have created an Audit Summary Report Tracking sheet (attached) to provide a status of each audit report received. This sheet has been added to the Audit Finding Review Process to allow both staff and management to ensure all versions of an audit report have been reviewed for findings.

If you have any questions, please call me at (858) 295-6702.

Sincerely,

Brent Watson Date: 2021.04.20 11:58:35

Brent Watson
Executive Director
District Financial Services

Enclosures (2)

cc: Paul Gothold, Ed.D., County Superintendent of Schools, San Diego County Office of Education Michael Simonson, Deputy Superintendent, Chief Business Official, San Diego County Office of Education Liliana Enriquez, Executive Assistant III, Office of the Superintendent, San Diego County Office of Education Natalie Schuff, Director, Business Advisory Services, San Diego County Office of Education Natalie Azzam, Business Advisor, San Diego County Office of Education

#### SAN DIEGO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION BUSINESS SERVICES - DISTRICT FINANCAIL SERVICES 2019-20 AUDIT REPORT FINDINGS REVIEW

#### **School District**

	SECTION A	
UMBER OF FINDINGS	County Office Follow-up  10000 - Attendance 20000 - Inventory of Equipment 30000 - Internal Control	State & Federal Findings 40000 - State Compliance
Audit Adjustment(s) Adjustment(s) poste		42000 - Charter School Facilities Programs:  43000 Apprenticeship: Related and Suppl Instruction  50000 - Federal Compliance  Material Weaknesses? (Y/N)  sted:  District (For Fiscally Independent Districts)
- Adjustificiti(s) poste	SECTION B	violities (i of i locally independent blothete)
<ol> <li>Did the district had independent stud</li> <li>If the district had provided by the district in the audit if "No",</li> </ol>	ave current year findings in areas 10000 through ave current year findings in area 4000s related to l dy and/or state funds?  current year findings in question 1 or 2 above, was district adequate? Attach the district's response to	YesNo
4. Did the district ha	ave unimplemented <b>prior year</b> findings in questio	n 1 <mark>or 2 above</mark> ? Yes No
by the district ad printed in the aud If "No",	unimplemented <b>prior year</b> findings in question 1 lequate? Attach the district's response to this revidit report.  provide the applicable audit report finding number():	iew, whether provided separately or Yes No
* If the	answer to SECTION B, Question 3 or 5 is "No", then Otherwise, STOP HERE. Be sure to sign an	_ R
MANAGER REVIEW: ADVISOR REVIEW:		DATE: DATE:

Thursday, April 1, 2021

#### SAN DIEGO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION BUSINESS SERVICES - DISTRICT FINANCAIL SERVICES 2019-20 AUDIT REPORT FINDINGS REVIEW

#### **School District**

#### SECTION C

## AUDIT REPORT RESPONSES WERE INADEQUATE. FURTHER RESPONSES/CORRECTIVE ACTION PLANS ARE NEEDED FOR THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS

Finding Number	District Contact:
Finding Number: Check if prior year finding:	Follow-up Contact:
	District:
Comments/Nesponse Nequested from	District:
Adequate Response Received:	Finding Resolved:
Finding Number:	District Contact:
Check if prior year finding:	Follow-up Contact:
Comments/Response Requested from	District:
Adequate Response Received:	Finding Resolved:
Finding Number:	District Contact:
Check if prior year finding:	Follow-up Contact:
Comments/Response Requested from	District:
Adequate Response Received:	Finding Resolved:
Finding Number:	District Contact:
Check if prior year finding:	Follow-up Contact:
Comments/Response Requested from	District:
Adequate Response Received:	Finding Resolved:
Attac	th additional sheets if necessary.
IANAGER REVIEW:	DATE:

Thursday, April 1, 2021

#### AUDIT PROCESS STATUS

#### 2019-20 AUDITS SUMMARY

District #			Updated			Representative	Audit Rejections SCO			Audit Revision		
	District Name		dBase?				Date	Reason	Date	Reason - List Items Corrected, include page #s {ask Auditor}	Notify: Natalie A	
01	ALPINE UNION	YES	YES						- 27		3	
189	BONSALL UNIFIED	YES	YES									
62	BORREGO SPRINGS UNIFIED	YES	YES		7	The second	7				- 3	
06	CAJON VALLEY UNION ELEM.	YES	YES									
07	CARDIFF	YES	YES								7	
63	CARLSBAD UNIFIED	YES	YES	5 8					71 89		- 3	
09	CHULA VISTA UNION ELEM.	YES	YES		-							
47	CORONADO UNIFIED	YES	YES		. 58						3	
10	DEHESA	YES	YES									
11	DEL MAR UNION	YES	YES	8 8					- 8			
14	ENCINITAS UNION	YES	YES	-								
15	ESCONDIDO UNION ELEM.	YES	YES			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			- 1		1	
40	ESCONDIDO UNION HIGH	YES	YES				9		- 8		3	
16	FALLBROOK UNION ELEM.	YES	YES	-		The second	***		_			
41	FALLBROOK UNION HIGH	YES	YES						- 5		3	
42	GROSSMONT UNION HIGH	YES	YES	100			*		-1			
17	JAMUL-DULZURA UNION	YES	YES	-					_		-	
18	JULIAN UNION ELEM.	YES	YES	8			-		-11		7	
43	JULIAN UNION HIGH	YES	PENDING			-			_			
20	LA MESA-SPRING VALLEY	YES	YES									
19	LAKESIDE UNION	YES	YES	9					- 1		-	
21	LEMON GROVE	YES	YES								1	
48	MTN. EMPIRE UNIFIED	YES	YES		the state of the s				- 7		-	
23	NATIONAL	YES	YES								- 5	
64	OCEANSIDE UNIFIED	YES	YES	-	T				_			
61	POWAY UNIFIED	YES	YES		-						7	
49	RAMONA UNIFIED	YES	YES	-					_		-	
28	RANCHO SANTA FE	YES	YES	5 5			- 7		- 29		7	
50	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	YES	YES				-		_			
45	SAN DIEGUITO UNION HIGH	YES	YES				- 1		- 1			
65	SAN MARCOS UNIFIED	YES	YES	-			_		-		-	
31	SAN PASQUAL UNION	YES	YES		the state of the s				_			
33	SAN YSIDRO	YES	YES	5 8							-	
32	SANTEE	YES	YES	-			-		_		_	
99	SDCOE	YES	YES	13 3	i i				- 10			
34	SOLANA BEACH	YES	YES	-							-	
35	SOUTH BAY UNION	YES	YES	5							-	
36	SPENCER VALLEY	YES	YES				- 0		- 4		_	
46	SWEETWATER UNION HIGH	YES	YES	H								
	VALLECITOS	YES	YES	b							- 9	
37 67	VALLECTIOS  VALLEY CENTER-PAUMA UNIF.	YES	YES		120		- 4		-4			
_		YES	YES								-	
51 66	VISTA UNIFIED WARNER UNIFIED	YES	YES	V 2	-				- 4		-	
00	Received Count		42 42			#N/A						

Received Count: 43 42 #N/A

State Controller's Office Division of Audits Post Office Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250

http://www.sco.ca.gov