# MERCED COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION

### **Review Report**

### AUDIT RESOLUTION PROCESS

Fiscal Year 2017-18 and Fiscal Year 2018-19



### BETTY T. YEE California State Controller

May 2021



### BETTY T. YEE California State Controller

May 17, 2021

Steve M. Tietjen, Ed.D., Superintendent Merced County Office of Education 632 West 13<sup>th</sup> Street Merced, CA 95341

Dear Dr. Tietjen:

The State Controller's Office reviewed the Merced County Office of Education's (COE) audit resolution process for local education agency exceptions noted in the annual audit reports. The review covered fiscal year (FY) 2017-18 and FY 2018-19.

Our review found that the Merced COE followed its audit resolution process for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19. As a result, the Merced COE was in compliance with California Education Code section 41020.

If you have any questions, please contact Joel James, Chief, Financial Audits Bureau, by telephone at (916) 323-1573.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

KIMBERLY TARVIN, CPA Chief, Division of Audits

KT/as

cc: Janet Riley, Assistant Superintendent of Business Services Merced County Office of Education
Cecilia Belmontes, Director, Financial Services (External) Merced County Office of Education
Elizabeth Dearstyne, Director School Fiscal Services Division
California Department of Education
Keith Smith, Administrator School Fiscal Services Division
California Department of Education
Christopher Ferguson, Program Budget Manager Education Systems Unit
California Department of Finance

## Contents

	Review	Report
--	--------	--------

Summary	1
Background	1
Objective, Scope, and Methodology	2
Conclusion	3
Views of Responsible Officials	3
Restricted Use	3

## **Review Report**

Summary	The State Controller's Office (SCO) reviewed the Merced County Office of Education's (COE) audit resolution process for local education agency (LEA) exceptions noted in the annual audit reports for fiscal year (FY) 2017-18 and FY 2018-19. Our review found that the Merced COE followed its audit resolution process for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19.
Background	California Education Code section 41020(n) requires the State Controller to annually select a sample of county superintendents of schools for which the SCO will perform a follow-up review of the audit resolution process. Results of these reviews will be reported to the State Superintendent of Public Instruction (SSPI) and the county superintendents of the schools that were reviewed.
	In addition, California Education Code section 41020(n) states that the State Controller shall require auditors to categorize audit exceptions in the audit report in such a manner that both the county superintendent of schools and the SSPI can discern the exceptions for which it is their responsibility to ensure that the LEAs take action to correct.
	The Merced COE provides coordination of educational programs and professional and financial supervision for 20 LEAs and one joint powers entity under its jurisdiction. In addition, the county superintendent of schools maintains special schools and programs countywide, independent of the LEAs.
	County superintendents of schools are required to do the following:
	• Review, for each of their school districts, audit exceptions relating to attendance, inventory of equipment, internal control, and any miscellaneous items, and determine whether the findings have been corrected or an acceptable plan of correction has been developed (California Education Code section 41020(i)(1));
	• Review audit exceptions related to the use of program funds for instructional materials, teacher misassignments, and school accountability report cards. The county superintendents must also determine whether the exceptions have been corrected or an acceptable plan of correction has been developed (California Education Code section 41020(i)(2));
	• Review audit exceptions related to attendance, inventory of equipment, internal control, and other miscellaneous exceptions. Attendance exceptions or issues must include those related to local control funding formula allocations pursuant to California Education Code section 42238.02, as implemented by section 42238.03, and independent study (California Education Code section 41020(j)(1));
	• Notify the LEA, and request that the governing board of the LEA provide to the county superintendent of schools a description of the

• Notify the LEA, and request that the governing board of the LEA provide to the county superintendent of schools a description of the correction or plan of correction by March 15 of the subsequent year (California Education Code section 41020(j)(2));

- Review the description of the correction or plan of correction and determine its adequacy and, if the LEA's response was not adequate, require the LEA to resubmit that portion of its response that is inadequate (California Education Code section 41020(j)(3));
- By May 15 of the subsequent year, certify to the SSPI and the SCO that the county has reviewed all applicable exceptions, and state that all exceptions have been corrected, or that an acceptable plan for correction has been submitted by the LEA to the county superintendent, except as noted in the certification. In addition, identify by LEA any attendance-related exceptions or exceptions involving state funds, and require the LEA to submit the appropriate reporting forms to the SSPI for processing (California Education Code section 41020(k));
- Review LEAs' unresolved prior-year audit exceptions when the California Department of Education defers to the county (California Education Code section 41020(1)); and
- Adjust subsequent local property tax requirements to correct audit exceptions relating to LEA tax rates and tax revenues (California Education Code section 41020(o)).

The objective of our review was limited to determining whether the Merced COE followed its audit resolution process for resolving LEA audit exceptions in a manner consistent with California Education Code section 41020. Our review did not include an evaluation of the sufficiency of the action taken by the LEA and the Merced COE to address each exception, nor did it assess the degree to which each exception was addressed.

The review period was FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19.

To achieve our objective, we:

- Verified that the Merced COE addressed all attendance, inventory of equipment, internal control, and miscellaneous exceptions. In addition, we verified that the Merced COE addressed any findings on program funds for instructional materials, teacher misassignments, and school accountability report cards. However, with respect to exceptions based on sample items, our review did not include a determination of whether the exception results were properly quantified and addressed at a districtwide or countywide level;
- Verified that the Merced COE notified LEAs that they must submit completed corrective action forms to the Merced COE by March 15, 2019, and March 15, 2020, for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19, respectively. Our review did not include an assessment of the LEAs' progress in taking corrective action;
- Verified that the Merced COE required the LEAs to submit the appropriate reporting forms to the SSPI for any attendance-related exceptions that affect state funding;

#### **Objective, Scope, and Methodology**

	• Reviewed the letters of certification due on May 15, 2019, and July 15, 2020, that the Merced COE sent to the SSPI and the SCO regarding any resolved and unresolved audit exceptions;
	• Verified that the Merced COE followed up with unresolved prior-year audit exceptions that the SSPI required the Merced COE to conduct; and
	• Verified that the Merced COE adjusted subsequent local property tax requirements to correct audit exceptions related to LEA tax rates and tax revenues.
	Our review was conducted under the authority of California Education Code section 41020(n).
Conclusion	Our review found that the Merced COE followed its audit resolution process for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19. As a result, the Merced COE was in compliance with California Education Code section 41020 for FY 2017-18 and FY 2018-19. We made no additional determination regarding the Merced COE's audit resolution process beyond the scope of the review outlined above.
Views of Responsible Officials	We conducted an exit conference on April 9, 2021, and discussed our review results with Janet Riley, Assistant Superintendent of Business Services and Cecilia Belmontes, Director, Financial Services (External). Ms. Riley and Ms. Belmontes agreed with the review results, declined a draft review report, and agreed that we could issue the review report as final.
Restricted Use	This review report is intended solely for the information and use of the Merced COE, the California Department of Education, the California Department of Finance, and the SCO; it is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not meant to limit distribution of this review report, which is a matter of public record and is available on the SCO website at www.sco.ca.gov.
	Original signed by KIMBERLY TARVIN, CPA
	Chief, Division of Audits

May 17, 2021

State Controller's Office Division of Audits Post Office Box 942850 Sacramento, CA 94250

http://www.sco.ca.gov