

CITY OF ALTURAS

Audit Report

SPECIAL GAS TAX STREET IMPROVEMENT FUND

July 1, 2015, through June 30, 2016



BETTY T. YEE
California State Controller

March 2018



BETTY T. YEE
California State Controller

March 9, 2018

The Honorable John E. Dederick
Mayor of the City of Alturas
200 West North Street
Alturas, CA 96101

Dear Mayor Dederick:

The State Controller's Office audited the City of Alturas's Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the period of July 1, 2015, through June 30, 2016.

Our audit found that the city accounted for and expended its Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund in compliance with requirements, except that it understated the fund balance by \$16,266 as of June 30, 2016. The city understated the fund balance because it over-expended its Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund. The city agreed with the finding and adjusted the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund accordingly.

If you have any questions, please contact Efren Loste, Chief, Local Government Audits Bureau, by telephone at (916) 324-7226.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

JEFFREY V. BROWNFIELD, CPA
Chief, Division of Audits

JVB/rg

cc: Sara Peet, Finance Director
City of Alturas

Contents

Audit Report

Summary	1
Background	1
Objective, Scope, and Methodology	1
Conclusion	3
Follow-up on Prior Audit Findings	3
Views of Responsible Officials	3
Restricted Use	3
Schedule 1—Reconciliation of Fund Balance	4
Finding and Recommendation	5

Audit Report

Summary

The State Controller's Office (SCO) audited the City of Alturas's Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the period of July 1, 2015, through June 30, 2016.

Our audit found that the city accounted for and expended its Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund in compliance with requirements, except that the city understated the fund balance by \$16,266 as of June 30, 2016. The city understated the fund balance because it over-expended its Special Gas Tax Improvement Fund. The city agreed with the finding and adjusted the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund accordingly.

Background

The State apportions funds monthly from the Highway Users Tax Account (HUTA) in the Transportation Tax Fund to cities and counties for the construction, maintenance, and operation of local streets and roads. The highway users taxes derive from State taxes on the sale of motor vehicle fuels. In accordance with Article XIX of the California Constitution and Streets and Highways Code, a city must deposit all apportionments of highway users taxes in its Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund. A city must expend gas tax funds only for street-related purposes. We conducted our audit of the city's Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund under the authority of Government Code section 12410.

Objective, Scope, and Methodology

Our audit objective was to determine whether the city accounted for and expended its Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund in compliance with Article XIX of the California Constitution and the Streets and Highways Code.

We audited the city's Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund for the period of July 1, 2015, through June 30, 2016.

To meet our audit objective, we:

- Gained a limited understanding of internal controls that would have an effect on the reliability of the accounting records of the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund by interviewing key personnel, completing the internal control questionnaire, and reviewing the city's organization chart;
- Conducted a risk assessment to determine the nature, timing, and extent of substantive testing;
- Performed analytical procedures to determine and explain the existence of unusual or unexpected account balances;
- Verified the accuracy of fund balances by performing a fund balance reconciliation for the period of July 1, 2004, through June 30, 2015, and by recalculating the trial balance for the period of July 1, 2015, through June 30, 2016;

- Verified whether the components of and changes to fund balances were properly computed, described, classified, and disclosed by scheduling and analyzing the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund account balances;
- Reconciled the fund revenue recorded in the city ledger to the balance reported in the SCO's apportionment schedule for fiscal year (FY) 2015-16 to determine whether HUTA apportionments received by the city were completely accounted for;
- Reviewed city accruals and adjustments for validity and eligibility;
- Analyzed the system used to allocate interest and determined whether the interest revenue allocated to the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund was fair and equitable, by interviewing key personnel and recalculating all of the interest allocations for the audit period;
- Reviewed the fund cash and liabilities accounts for unauthorized borrowing to determine whether unexpended HUTA funds were available for future street-related expenditures and protected from impairment; and
- Verified whether the expenditures incurred during the audit period were supported by proper documentation and eligible in accordance with the applicable criteria by testing all of the expenditure transactions that were equal to or greater than the significant item amount (calculated based on materiality threshold), and judgmentally selecting samples of other transactions for the following categories (for the selected sample, errors found, if any, were not projected to the intended population):
 - Services and Supplies – We tested \$59,377 of \$68,702.
 - Labor – We tested \$782 of \$14,353.

We did not audit the city's financial statements. We limited our audit scope to planning and performing audit procedures necessary to obtain reasonable assurance that the city accounted for and expended its Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund in accordance with the criteria. We considered the city's internal controls only to the extent necessary to plan the audit.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective.

Conclusion	Our audit found that the City of Alturas accounted for and expended its Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund in compliance with Article XIX of the California Constitution and the Streets and Highways Code for the period of July 1, 2015, through June 30, 2016, except as noted in Schedule 1 and described in the Finding and Recommendation section of this report. The finding requires an adjustment of \$16,266 to the city's accounting records.
Follow-up on Prior Audit Findings	Our prior audit report for the period of July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2004, issued on December 21, 2005, disclosed no findings.
Views of Responsible Officials	We discussed the audit results with city representatives during an exit conference on December 11, 2017. Sara Peet, Finance Director, agreed with the audit results. Ms. Peet further agreed that a draft audit report was not necessary and that the audit report could be issued as final.
Restricted Use	This report is solely for the information and use of the City of Alturas and the SCO; it is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Original signed by

JEFFREY V. BROWNFIELD, CPA
Chief, Division of Audits

March 9, 2018

**Schedule 1—
Reconciliation of Fund Balance
July 1, 2015, through June 30, 2016**

	Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund ¹
Beginning fund balance per city	\$ 78,482
Revenues	<u>76,090</u>
Total funds available	154,572
Expenditures	<u>(83,055)</u>
Ending fund balance per city	71,517
SCO adjustment: ²	
Finding—Over-expended fund	<u>16,266</u>
Ending fund balance per audit	<u>\$ 87,783</u>

¹The city receives apportionments from the State HUTA, pursuant to Streets and Highways Code sections 2103, 2105, 2106, 2107, and 2107.5. The basis of the apportionments varies, but the money may be used for any street-related purpose. Streets and Highways Code section 2107.5 restricts apportionments to administration and engineering expenditures, except for cities with populations of fewer than 10,000 inhabitants. Those cities may use the funds for rights-of-way and for the construction of street systems. The city must deposit its HUTA apportionments in its Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund.

²See the Finding and Recommendation section.

Finding and Recommendation

FINDING— Over-expended fund

The city spent \$16,266 in excess of available funds during FY 2007-08. We identified the over-expended funds when reconciling fund balances since our last audit, which was through June 30, 2004. The error occurred because the city did not follow its procedures to verify availability of funds prior to incurring costs.

The practice of funding one fiscal year's activities with HUTA apportionments from the following fiscal year is contrary to established municipal budgetary and accounting practice and in violation of Article 16, section 18, of the California Constitution, which states, in part:

- (a) No county, city, town, township, board of education, or school district, shall incur any indebtedness or liability in any manner or for any purpose exceeding in any year the income and revenue provided for such year.

As a result, costs incurred in excess of available funds totaling \$16,266 are unallowable. During the audit field work, the city provided Journal Entry No. 00-08, dated May 3, 2017, to reimburse the Special Gas Tax Street Improvement Fund.

Recommendation

We recommend that the city follow its procedures to ensure that funds are available prior to incurring costs.

**State Controller's Office
Division of Audits
Post Office Box 942850
Sacramento, CA 94250-5874**

<http://www.sco.ca.gov>